

Parameterised Algorithms

Pradeesha Ashok

International Institute of Information Technology, Bangalore

What are parameterised algorithms?

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the general instance of Π exactly, in time polynomial in input size

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the **general instance** of Π **exactly**, in time **polynomial** in input size

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the **general instance** of Π **exactly**, in time **polynomial** in input size
- VERTEX COVER is NP-Complete in general graphs

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the **general instance** of Π **exactly**, in time **polynomial** in input size
- VERTEX COVER is NP-Complete in general graphs
- VERTEX COVER can be solved in polynomial time for trees, bipartite graphs, . . .

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the **general instance** of Π **exactly**, in time **polynomial** in input size
- VERTEX COVER is NP-Complete in general graphs

NP-Completeness

- Π is NP-Complete
- (Unless $P = NP$) There cannot be an algorithm that solves the **general instance** of Π **exactly**, in time **polynomial** in input size
- VERTEX COVER is NP-Complete in general graphs
- A polynomial time approximation algorithm exists for VERTEX COVER

Parameterised Algorithms

- The problem instance contains a **parameter** in addition to the input

Parameterised Algorithms

- The problem instance contains a **parameter** in addition to the input
- The value of the parameter is assumed to be much smaller than the input size

Parameterised Algorithms

- The problem instance contains a **parameter** in addition to the input
- The value of the parameter is assumed to be much smaller than the input size
- A parameterized algorithm for the problem gives an exact solution in running time which is **exponential only in the parameter** and polynomial in the input size

Parameterised Algorithms

Definition

A parameterized problem is a language $L \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$ where Σ is a finite alphabet. For $(x, k) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$, k is called the parameter

Parameterised Algorithms

Definition

A parameterized problem is a language $L \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$ where Σ is a finite alphabet. For $(x, k) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$, k is called the parameter

Definition

A parameterized problem $L \subset \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$ is called *fixed-parameter tractable* (FPT) if there exists an algorithm \mathcal{A} (called a fixed-parameter algorithm), a computable function f , and a constant c such that, given $(x, k) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^+$, the algorithm \mathcal{A} correctly decides whether $(x, k) \in L$ in time bounded by $f(k)|x|^c$

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

The Art of Parameterisation

The Art of Parameterisation

- Standard Parameter - output size

The Art of Parameterisation

- Standard Parameter - output size
- Structural Parameter of the input
 - Graph Problems : max degree, genus, treewidth,....
 - Satisfiability Problems : Number of clauses, Number of clauses that needs to be satisfied,....
 - Geometric Problems : dimension of the space, number of points,....

The Art of Parameterisation

- Standard Parameter - output size
- Structural Parameter of the input
 - Graph Problems : max degree, genus, treewidth,....
 - Satisfiability Problems : Number of clauses, Number of clauses that needs to be satisfied,....
 - Geometric Problems : dimension of the space, number of points,....
- Parameterization can happen with a combination of two or more values, k, l, \dots in which case $(k + l + \dots)$ is the parameter

Bounded Search Trees

- Compute a search space - **represented by a search tree**-of size bounded by a function of the parameter

VERTEX COVER

Definition

Given a graph $G(V, E)$, $V' \subset V$ is a *vertex cover* for G if for every edge $e \in E$, at least one endpoint of e is in V'

VERTEX COVER

- Input : Undirected graph $G(V, E)$
- Question : Is there a vertex cover V' for G such that $|V'| \leq k$?
- Parameter : k

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

**Bounded
Search Trees**

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every edge $e(u, v) \in E$ either $u \in V'$ or $v \in V'$

Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every edge $e(u, v) \in E$ either $u \in V'$ or $v \in V'$ - branch on each endpoint

Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every edge $e(u, v) \in E$ either $u \in V'$ or $v \in V'$ - branch on each endpoint
- There exists an FPT algorithm for VERTEX COVER parameterised by k with running time $\mathcal{O}(2^k)$

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

**Bounded
Search Trees**

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Improved Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

Improved Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every vertex $v \in V$, either $v \in V'$ or $N(v) \in V'$

Improved Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every vertex $v \in V$, either $v \in V'$ or $N(v) \in V'$
- Maximum degree is at least 3

Improved Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every vertex $v \in V$, either $v \in V'$ or $N(v) \in V'$
- Maximum degree is at least 3
- size of the tree $T(k) = T(k - 1) + T(k - 3)$

Improved Branching Algorithm for VERTEX COVER

- For every vertex $v \in V$, either $v \in V'$ or $N(v) \in V'$
- Maximum degree is at least 3
- size of the tree $T(k) = T(k - 1) + T(k - 3)$
- There exists an FPT algorithm for VERTEX COVER parameterised by k with running time $\mathcal{O}(1.4656^k)$

FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Given a graph $G(V, E)$, $F \subset V$ is a *Feedback vertex Set* if $G \setminus F$ is acyclic.

FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Input : Undirected graph, $G(V, E)$
- Question : Does G have a feedback vertex set F such that $|F| \leq k$?
- Parameter : k

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Reduction Rule 1 : If there is a loop at a vertex v , delete v from the graph and decrease k by 1.

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Reduction Rule 1 : If there is a loop at a vertex v , delete v from the graph and decrease k by 1.
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is an edge of multiplicity larger than 2, reduce its multiplicity to 2.

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Reduction Rule 1 : If there is a loop at a vertex v , delete v from the graph and decrease k by 1.
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is an edge of multiplicity larger than 2, reduce its multiplicity to 2.
- Reduction Rule 3 : If there is a vertex v of degree at most 1, delete v

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Reduction Rule 1 : If there is a loop at a vertex v , delete v from the graph and decrease k by 1.
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is an edge of multiplicity larger than 2, reduce its multiplicity to 2.
- Reduction Rule 3 : If there is a vertex v of degree at most 1, delete v
- Reduction Rule 4 : If there is a vertex v of degree 2, delete v and connect its two neighbors by a new edge.

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

After applying the reduction rules exhaustively, we get a graph G' that

- contains no loops
- has only single and double edges, and
- has minimum vertex degree at least 3.

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be an ordering of the vertices in the descending order of degree
- Let $V_{3k} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k}\}$

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be an ordering of the vertices in the descending order of degree
- Let $V_{3k} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k}\}$

Lemma

Every feedback vertex set in G of size at most k contains at least one vertex of V_{3k} .

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be an ordering of the vertices in the descending order of degree
- Let $V_{3k} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k}\}$

Lemma

Every feedback vertex set in G of size at most k contains at least one vertex of V_{3k} .

- Branch on the choice of vertex from V_{3K}

Branching Algorithm for FEEDBACK VERTEX SET

- Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be an ordering of the vertices in the descending order of degree
- Let $V_{3k} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{3k}\}$

Lemma

Every feedback vertex set in G of size at most k contains at least one vertex of V_{3k} .

- Branch on the choice of vertex from V_{3k}
- There exists an FPT algorithm that solves FEEDBACK VERTEX SET in $\mathcal{O}((3k)^k)$ time

Kernelization

Preprocess / Reduce the instance I to get an equivalent instance I' such that the size of I' is bounded by a function of the parameter

Kernelization

Definition

A *Kernelization algorithm*, or a *kernel*, is an algorithm that given an instance of a parameterised problem (x, k) , reduces it to an equivalent instance (x', k') such that $|x'| + k' \leq g(k)$ where g is a computable function.

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

- Reduction Rule 1 : If G contains an isolated vertex v , delete v from G

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

- Reduction Rule 1 : If G contains an isolated vertex v , delete v from G
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is a vertex v of degree at least $k + 1$, then delete v (and its incident edges) from G and decrement the parameter k by 1

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

- If a graph has max degree d , then a set of k vertices can cover at most kd edges

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

- If a graph has max degree d , then a set of k vertices can cover at most kd edges

Lemma

If (G, k) is a yes-instance and none of the reduction rules is applicable to G , then $|V| \leq k^2 + k$ and $|E| \leq k^2$

Kernel for VERTEX COVER

Theorem

VERTEX COVER parameterised by output size k has a kernel of $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ vertices and $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ edges

EDGE CLIQUE COVER

- Given a graph G , decide whether the edges of G can be covered using at most k cliques?

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

- Reduction Rule 1: Remove isolated vertices

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

- Reduction Rule 1: Remove isolated vertices
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is an isolated edge uv (a connected component that is just an edge), delete it and decrease k by 1

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

- Reduction Rule 1: Remove isolated vertices
- Reduction Rule 2 : If there is an isolated edge uv (a connected component that is just an edge), delete it and decrease k by 1
- Reduction Rule 3 : If there is an edge uv whose endpoints have exactly the same closed neighborhood, that is, $N[u] = N[v]$, then delete v

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

Lemma

If (G,k) is a reduced yes-instance, on which none of the presented reduction rules can be applied, then $|V| \leq 2^k$

Kernel for EDGE CLIQUE COVER

Lemma

If (G,k) is a reduced yes-instance, on which none of the presented reduction rules can be applied, then $|V| \leq 2^k$

Theorem

EDGE CLIQUE COVER admits a vertex kernel of size $\mathcal{O}(2^k)$

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

Iterative Compression

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k
- Assume we have a solution Z of slightly larger size $k + 1, 2k, \dots$

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k
- Assume we have a solution Z of slightly larger size $k + 1, 2k, \dots$
- Try to compress Z to size k

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k
- Assume we have a solution Z of slightly larger size $k + 1, 2k, \dots$
- Try to compress Z to size k

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k
- Assume we have a solution Z of slightly larger size $k + 1, 2k, \dots$
- **Try to compress Z to size k**
 - branch in all ways an optimal solution X intersects with Z

Iterative Compression

- Consider we are looking for a solution of size k
- Assume we have a solution Z of slightly larger size $k + 1, 2k, \dots$
- Try to compress Z to size k
 - branch in all ways an optimal solution X intersects with Z
- If the compression step can be performed in FPT time, then the problem is in FPT

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Start with a 2-approximation to optimal vertex cover , Z

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Start with a 2-approximation to optimal vertex cover , Z
- If $|Z| > 2k$, say NO

Parameterised
Algorithms

Pradeesha
Ashok

Introduction

Bounded
Search Trees

Kernelization

Iterative
Compression

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)
- Let $W = Z \setminus X_Z$

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)
- Let $W = Z \setminus X_Z$
- There cannot be an edge in $G[W]$

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)
- Let $W = Z \setminus X_Z$
- There cannot be an edge in $G[W]$
- If $|X_Z \cup N(W)| \leq k$ then say YES

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)
- Let $W = Z \setminus X_Z$
- There cannot be an edge in $G[W]$
- If $|X_Z \cup N(W)| \leq k$ then say YES
- The algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(4^k)$ time

VERTEX COVER using Iterated Compression

- Let X be a solution of size k
- Assume $X_Z = X \cap Z$ (Branch on all possible values of X_Z)
- Let $W = Z \setminus X_Z$
- There cannot be an edge in $G[W]$
- If $|X_Z \cup N(W)| \leq k$ then say YES
- The algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(4^k)$ time - **can be improved to $\mathcal{O}(2^k)$**